

Fourier transform methods for pathwise covariance estimation in the presence of jumps

Christa Cuchiero

(based on joint work with David Skovmand and Josef Teichmann)

Vienna University

4th Berlin Workshop on Mathematical Finance for Young Researchers
October 12th, 2012

- 1 Motivation and Introduction
 - Multi-asset models
 - Calibration concept through a guiding example
 - Methodology overview
 - Model framework and structural assumptions

- 2 Pathwise estimation of the instantaneous covariance process
 - Fourier method
 - Integrated realized covariance estimators
 - Fourier coefficients estimator
 - Fourier estimator for the instantaneous covariance

- 3 Covariance of Covariance estimation
 - Affine model specification
 - Estimation of the covariance of X

Multi-asset models and their calibration

- We consider **multivariate stochastic models** to describe the joint behavior and dependence structure of several assets. Typical ingredients of such models are

Multi-asset models and their calibration

- We consider **multivariate stochastic models** to describe the joint behavior and dependence structure of several assets. Typical ingredients of such models are
 - ▶ a **stochastic covariance process**, yielding stochastic volatility of each single asset and stochastic correlation between the different assets,

Multi-asset models and their calibration

- We consider **multivariate stochastic models** to describe the joint behavior and dependence structure of several assets. Typical ingredients of such models are
 - ▶ a **stochastic covariance process**, yielding stochastic volatility of each single asset and stochastic correlation between the different assets,
 - ▶ **jumps**, whose jump measures can exhibit a rich dependence structure.

Multi-asset models and their calibration

- We consider **multivariate stochastic models** to describe the joint behavior and dependence structure of several assets. Typical ingredients of such models are
 - ▶ a **stochastic covariance process**, yielding stochastic volatility of each single asset and stochastic correlation between the different assets,
 - ▶ **jumps**, whose jump measures can exhibit a rich dependence structure.
- In particular, **affine models** can incorporate these ingredients and constitute a class which is flexible enough to capture the various stylized facts implied by market data.

Multi-asset models and their calibration

- We consider **multivariate stochastic models** to describe the joint behavior and dependence structure of several assets. Typical ingredients of such models are
 - ▶ a **stochastic covariance process**, yielding stochastic volatility of each single asset and stochastic correlation between the different assets,
 - ▶ **jumps**, whose jump measures can exhibit a rich dependence structure.
- In particular, **affine models** can incorporate these ingredients and constitute a class which is flexible enough to capture the various stylized facts implied by market data.

Calibration problem:

But how can we determine in such models the parameters associated with the **covariance process** and the **jump structure** under some **risk neutral measure**?

Usual calibration approach

In a one-dimensional setting, the standard approach to calibrate one's model under some risk neutral measure relies on the use of

Usual calibration approach

In a one-dimensional setting, the standard approach to calibrate one's model under some risk neutral measure relies on the use of

- **derivatives' data**, usually prices of European call or put options with different maturities and strikes,

Usual calibration approach

In a one-dimensional setting, the standard approach to calibrate one's model under some risk neutral measure relies on the use of

- derivatives' data, usually prices of European call or put options with different maturities and strikes,
- models which allow for (semi-)analytic pricing formulas for European options,

Usual calibration approach

In a one-dimensional setting, the standard approach to calibrate one's model under some risk neutral measure relies on the use of

- **derivatives' data**, usually prices of European call or put options with different maturities and strikes,
- models which allow for **(semi-)analytic pricing formulas for European options**,
- an **optimization procedure**, which is usually based on a least square criterium to minimize the distance between the model and market prices (or implied volatility, etc.).

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,
- lack of liquidly traded options whose payoff depends on several underlyings.

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,
- lack of liquidly traded options whose payoff depends on several underlyings.

Possible solution:

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,
- lack of liquidly traded options whose payoff depends on several underlyings.

Possible solution:

- Use further data sources: time series of the asset prices and (time series of) single option prices

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,
- lack of liquidly traded options whose payoff depends on several underlyings.

Possible solution:

- Use further data sources: time series of the asset prices and (time series of) single option prices
- Question:

Usual calibration approach - problems and remedies

Problems (in particular due to the multivariate setting):

- high dimension of the parameter space of typical multi-asset models, which makes a stable and robust optimization procedure infeasible,
- lack of liquidly traded options whose payoff depends on several underlyings.

Possible solution:

- Use further data sources: time series of the asset prices and (time series of) single option prices
- Question:
 - ▶ Is there a reasonable way to use both sources of information simultaneously to estimate the model parameters?

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- We consider a slight generalization of the one-dimensional Bates model defined on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- We consider a slight generalization of the one-dimensional **Bates model** defined on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.
- The dynamics of the (discounted) **logarithmic price process** $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and the \mathbb{R}_+ -valued instantaneous **variance process** $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are described by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t &= y + \int_0^t \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} X_s - \int (e^\xi - 1 - \xi) m(d\xi) \right) ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{X_s} dZ_s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int \xi (\mu^Y(d\xi, ds) - m(d\xi) ds), \\
 X_t &= x + \int_0^t \kappa (\theta - X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma \sqrt{X_s} dW_s.
 \end{aligned}$$

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- We consider a slight generalization of the one-dimensional **Bates model** defined on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.
- The dynamics of the (discounted) **logarithmic price process** $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and the \mathbb{R}_+ -valued instantaneous **variance process** $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are described by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t &= y + \int_0^t \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} X_s - \int (e^\xi - 1 - \xi) m(d\xi) \right) ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{X_s} dZ_s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int \xi (\mu^Y(d\xi, ds) - m(d\xi) ds), \\
 X_t &= x + \int_0^t \kappa (\theta - X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma \sqrt{X_s} dW_s.
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Z, W : one-dimensional correlated \mathbb{P} -Brownian motions, satisfying $d\langle Z, W \rangle_t = \rho dt$ for $\rho \in [-1, 1]$
- ▶ $\mu, \kappa, \theta, \sigma$ some (admissible) parameters
- ▶ $m^Y(d\xi, dt)$: Poisson random measure with compensator $m(d\xi)dt$, where $m(d\xi)$ denotes some Lévy measure

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ
 - ▶ correlation ρ

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ
 - ▶ correlation ρ
 - ▶ Blumenthal-Gettoor index of L , in particular whether the jumps are of finite activity, finite or infinite variation

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ
 - ▶ correlation ρ
 - ▶ Blumenthal-Gettoor index of L , in particular whether the jumps are of finite activity, finite or infinite variation
- For the determination of these quantities the use of time series of Y observed under \mathbb{P} is thus justified.

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ
 - ▶ correlation ρ
 - ▶ Blumenthal-Gettoor index of L , in particular whether the jumps are of finite activity, finite or infinite variation
- For the determination of these quantities the use of time series of Y observed under \mathbb{P} is thus justified.
- Having fixed σ and ρ from time series observations, we obtain a family of equivalent measures on the canonical space of càdlàg paths $\mathcal{L} := (L^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m}(d\xi)) = (Y^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m}(d\xi)_* \mathbb{P})$. We here only consider equivalent measure changes which stay in the setting of the Bates model.

Calibration concept - example of the Bates model

- Under a measure change from \mathbb{P} to some equivalent measure \mathbb{P}' , certain quantities remain invariant
 - ▶ vol of vol σ
 - ▶ correlation ρ
 - ▶ Blumenthal-Gettoor index of L , in particular whether the jumps are of finite activity, finite or infinite variation
- For the determination of these quantities the use of time series of Y observed under \mathbb{P} is thus justified.
- Having fixed σ and ρ from time series observations, we obtain a family of equivalent measures on the canonical space of càdlàg paths $\mathcal{L} := (L^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m(d\xi)}) = (Y^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m(d\xi)} \mathbb{P})$. We here only consider equivalent measure changes which stay in the setting of the Bates model.
- Since we aim to determine the model under some equivalent martingale measure \mathbb{Q} , we only select those $L^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m(d\xi)}$ from \mathcal{L} under which $e^{Y^{\mu, \kappa, \theta, m(d\xi)}}$ is a martingale. This necessarily means that $\mu = 0$ while all other parameters remain to be determined, necessarily from (a time series of) option prices.

Calibration Concept

Paradigm 1:

Parameters which remain invariant under equivalent measure changes can and should be determined from the **time series of asset prices**. In particular one should be able to identify those invariant parameters from "perfect" observations, if the model is correct.

Calibration Concept

Paradigm 1:

Parameters which remain invariant under equivalent measure changes can and should be determined from the **time series of asset prices**. In particular one should be able to identify those invariant parameters from "perfect" observations, if the model is correct.

Paradigm 2:

Parameters which determine the particular risk neutral measure implied by derivatives' data have to be inferred from **option prices and their term structures**.

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and
- the correlation process between the log price and the volatility process

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and
- the correlation process between the log price and the volatility process

can be estimated from time series of asset prices in a non-parametric way, which works

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and
- the correlation process between the log price and the volatility process

can be estimated from time series of asset prices in a non-parametric way, which works

- in a multivariate setting,

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and
- the correlation process between the log price and the volatility process

can be estimated from time series of asset prices in a non-parametric way, which works

- in a multivariate setting,
- in the presence of jumps.

Goal of today's talk

Focus on paradigm 1: We consider in particular how

- the covariance process of the covariance process and
- the correlation process between the log price and the volatility process

can be estimated from time series of asset prices in a non-parametric way, which works

- in a multivariate setting,
- in the presence of jumps.

This involves a two step procedure where we first need to recover the realized path of the instantaneous covariance from which we can then estimate the vol of vol and the correlation.

Literature

- Integrated realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ A huge part of the literature on non-parametric volatility estimation focuses on integrated (functions of the) covariance.

Literature

- Integrated realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ A huge part of the literature on non-parametric volatility estimation focuses on *integrated (functions of the) covariance*.
 - ▶ Most well known examples are *Power, Bi-power and Multi-power variation*, which were introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2004).

Literature

- Integrated realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ A huge part of the literature on non-parametric volatility estimation focuses on **integrated (functions of the) covariance**.
 - ▶ Most well known examples are **Power, Bi-power and Multi-power variation**, which were introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2004).
 - ▶ These estimators include **jumps robust specifications** (depending on the power used) without choosing tuning parameters for jump cleaning methods.

Literature

- Integrated realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ A huge part of the literature on non-parametric volatility estimation focuses on **integrated (functions of the) covariance**.
 - ▶ Most well known examples are **Power, Bi-power and Multi-power variation**, which were introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2004).
 - ▶ These estimators include **jumps robust specifications** (depending on the power used) without choosing tuning parameters for jump cleaning methods.
 - ▶ **Consistency and central limit theorems** have first been proved for rather general estimators in a **diffusion setting** (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), Thesis of Podolskij (2006)) and have then been **partly extended to processes with jumps** (Woerner (2004), Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2007), Andersen et al. (2008), Podolskij and Vetter (2009).)

Literature

- Integrated realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ A huge part of the literature on non-parametric volatility estimation focuses on **integrated (functions of the) covariance**.
 - ▶ Most well known examples are **Power, Bi-power and Multi-power variation**, which were introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2004).
 - ▶ These estimators include **jumps robust specifications** (depending on the power used) without choosing tuning parameters for jump cleaning methods.
 - ▶ **Consistency and central limit theorems** have first been proved for rather general estimators in a **diffusion setting** (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), Thesis of Podolskij (2006)) and have then been **partly extended to processes with jumps** (Woerner (2004), Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2007), Andersen et al. (2008), Podolskij and Vetter (2009).)
 - ▶ Recently **Tauchen and Todorov (2011)** introduced another **jump robust estimator based on the realized Laplace transform of volatility**.

Literature

- Instantaneous realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ Instantaneous (co-)variance estimation is mostly achieved via **numerical differentiation** of the integrated (co-)variance estimators (e.g. Alvarez et al. (2010)).

Literature

- Instantaneous realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ Instantaneous (co-)variance estimation is mostly achieved via **numerical differentiation** of the integrated (co-)variance estimators (e.g. Alvarez et al. (2010)).
 - ▶ A method which avoids the issue of numerical differentiation is the **Fourier method introduced by Malliavin and Mancino (2002, 2009)**, which however only works in a **pure diffusion setting**.

Literature

- Instantaneous realized (co-)variance estimation
 - ▶ Instantaneous (co-)variance estimation is mostly achieved via [numerical differentiation](#) of the integrated (co-)variance estimators (e.g. Alvarez et al. (2010)).
 - ▶ A method which avoids the issue of numerical differentiation is the [Fourier method introduced by Malliavin and Mancino \(2002, 2009\)](#), which however only works in a [pure diffusion setting](#).
 - ▶ A [two step procedure for vol of vol estimation](#) has been considered by [Barucci and Mancino \(2010\)](#) in a pure diffusion setting and without proving a central limit theorem for the estimator.

Goal and procedure

- 1 Combine **jump robust estimators** (already considered for integrated covariance estimation or **new specifications**) with **instantaneous covariance estimation based on Fourier methods**:

Reconstruct non-parametrically from the observations of the log-price processes the **trajectories of the instantaneous covariance process**.

$$\underbrace{(Y_{t_0}, Y_{t_1}, \dots, Y_T)}_{\text{observed log-price}} \xrightarrow{(1)} \underbrace{(\widehat{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}}_{\text{estimator for the realized path of } X}$$

Goal and procedure

- Combine **jump robust estimators** (already considered for integrated covariance estimation or **new specifications**) with **instantaneous covariance estimation based on Fourier methods**:

Reconstruct non-parametrically from the observations of the log-price processes the trajectories of the instantaneous covariance process.

$$\underbrace{(Y_{t_0}, Y_{t_1}, \dots, Y_T)}_{\text{observed log-price}} \xrightarrow{(1)} \underbrace{(\hat{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}}_{\text{estimator for the realized path of } X}$$

- Further develop the **two step procedure**, that is, use the path of \hat{X} to compute an estimator for the **integrated covariance of the covariance process**.

$$\underbrace{(\hat{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}}_{\text{estimator for the realized path of } X} \xrightarrow{(2)} \underbrace{\int_0^T \hat{Q}_s ds}_{\text{estimator for } \langle X_T^c, X_T^c \rangle}$$

Goal and procedure

- 1 Combine **jump robust estimators** (already considered for integrated covariance estimation or **new specifications**) with **instantaneous covariance estimation based on Fourier methods**:

Reconstruct non-parametrically from the observations of the log-price processes the **trajectories of the instantaneous covariance process**.

$$\underbrace{(Y_{t_0}, Y_{t_1}, \dots, Y_T)}_{\text{observed log-price}} \xrightarrow{(1)} \underbrace{(\widehat{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}}_{\text{estimator for the realized path of } X}$$

- 2 Further develop the **two step procedure**, that is, use the path of \widehat{X} to compute an estimator for the **integrated covariance of the covariance process**.

$$\underbrace{(\widehat{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}}_{\text{estimator for the realized path of } X} \xrightarrow{(2)} \underbrace{\int_0^T \widehat{Q}_s ds}_{\text{estimator for } \langle X_T^c, X_T^c \rangle}$$

- 3 Determine under some parametric specification e.g. **affine S_d^+ -valued diffusion process**, those **parameters which remain invariant under equivalent measure changes**.

Setting for multi-asset models

- We consider a d -dimensional (discounted) nonnegative asset price process $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

Setting for multi-asset models

- We consider a d -dimensional (discounted) nonnegative asset price process $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.
- $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the d -dimensional logarithmic (discounted) price process.

Setting for multi-asset models

- We consider a d -dimensional (discounted) nonnegative asset price process $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$, defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.
- $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the d -dimensional logarithmic (discounted) price process.
- Due to no-arbitrage considerations, S and thus also Y are supposed to be semimartingales.

Assumption on the log-price process

Assumption (H)

Structural assumption on Y : Y is an Itô-semimartingale of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_t = & y + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{s-}} dZ_s + \int_0^t \int_{\{\|\xi\| \leq 1\}} \xi (\mu^Y(d\xi, ds) - K_{\omega, s}(d\xi) ds) \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_{\{\|\xi\| > 1\}} \xi \mu^Y(d\xi, ds),
 \end{aligned}$$

- Z : d -dimensional Brownian motion,
- b : \mathbb{R}^d -valued locally bounded predictable process,
- X : càdlàg process taking values in the cone of positive definite matrices S_d^+ ,
- μ^Y : random measure associated with the jumps of Y with compensator $K_{\omega, t}(d\xi)dt$.

Assumptions on the instantaneous covariance process

Assumption (H1)

Hypothesis H holds and an additional structural assumption on the instantaneous covariance process X : X is an Itô-semimartingale of the form

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b_s^X ds + \sum_{q=1}^p \int_0^t Q_{s-}^q dB_{s,q} + \int_0^t \delta(\xi, s-)(\mu^X(d\xi, ds) - F(d\xi)ds),$$

- B : q -dimensional Brownian motion, which can be correlated with Z , the Brownian motion driving the log-price process.
- b^X : \mathbb{R}^d -valued locally bounded predictable process,
- $(Q^q)_{q \in \{1, \dots, p\}}$: càdlàg process taking values in S_d ,
- μ^X : Poisson random measure on $S_d \times [0, \infty)$ independent of Z and B with compensator $F(d\xi)dt$,
- $\delta(\omega, \xi, s)$: a map $\Omega \times S_d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow S_d$ satisfying certain technical conditions.

Pathwise estimation of X – Fourier methodology

The main idea of the Fourier method for non-parametric pathwise covariance estimation can be described by the following steps:

- 1 Recover from (high frequency) observations of Y the **Fourier coefficients of the components of the path** $t \rightarrow \rho(X_t(\omega))$ for some continuous invertible function $\rho : S_d \rightarrow S_d$. In other words, find an estimator for

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho(X))(k) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho(X_t) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{T} kt} dt.$$

Pathwise estimation of X – Fourier methodology

The main idea of the Fourier method for non-parametric pathwise covariance estimation can be described by the following steps:

- 1 Recover from (high frequency) observations of Y the **Fourier coefficients of the components of the path** $t \rightarrow \rho(X_t(\omega))$ for some continuous invertible function $\rho : S_d \rightarrow S_d$. In other words, find an estimator for

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho(X))(k) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho(X_t) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{T} kt} dt.$$

- 2 Use **Fourier-Féjer inversion** to reconstruct the path of $t \rightarrow \rho(X_t)$. Indeed, by Féjer's theorem

$$\sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{N}\right) \mathcal{F}(\rho(X))(k) e^{i \frac{2\pi}{T} kt}$$

converges uniformly to $\rho(X_t)$ on $[0, T]$ if $t \rightarrow X_t$ is continuous. If X has càdlàg paths, then the limit is given by $\frac{\rho(X_t) + \rho(X_{t-})}{2}$.

Pathwise estimation of X – Fourier methodology

The main idea of the Fourier method for non-parametric pathwise covariance estimation can be described by the following steps:

- 1 Recover from (high frequency) observations of Y the **Fourier coefficients of the components of the path** $t \rightarrow \rho(X_t(\omega))$ for some continuous invertible function $\rho : S_d \rightarrow S_d$. In other words, find an estimator for

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho(X))(k) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho(X_t) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{T} kt} dt.$$

- 2 Use **Fourier-Féjer inversion** to reconstruct the path of $t \rightarrow \rho(X_t)$. Indeed, by Féjer's theorem

$$\sum_{k=-N+1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{N}\right) \mathcal{F}(\rho(X))(k) e^{i \frac{2\pi}{T} kt}$$

converges uniformly to $\rho(X_t)$ on $[0, T]$ if $t \rightarrow X_t$ is continuous. If X has càdlàg paths, then the limit is given by $\frac{\rho(X_t) + \rho(X_{t-})}{2}$.

- 3 Invert the function ρ to obtain the **paths of the components of X** .

How to obtain estimators for the Fourier coefficients

- Realize that the only difference with respect to estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance are the terms $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}$ in the integral for the Fourier coefficients.

How to obtain estimators for the Fourier coefficients

- Realize that the only difference with respect to estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance are the terms $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}$ in the integral for the Fourier coefficients.
- Therefore we can make use of (slightly modified) **jump robust estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance** such as the

How to obtain estimators for the Fourier coefficients

- Realize that the only difference with respect to estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance are the terms $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}$ in the integral for the Fourier coefficients.
- Therefore we can make use of (slightly modified) **jump robust estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance** such as the
 - ▶ certain **power variation estimators** considered by Barndorff-Nielsen et al.

How to obtain estimators for the Fourier coefficients

- Realize that the only difference with respect to estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance are the terms $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}$ in the integral for the Fourier coefficients.
- Therefore we can make use of (slightly modified) **jump robust estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance** such as the
 - ▶ certain **power variation estimators** considered by Barndorff-Nielsen et al.
 - ▶ **estimators for the realized Laplace transform of volatility** introduced by Tauchen and Todorov and

How to obtain estimators for the Fourier coefficients

- Realize that the only difference with respect to estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance are the terms $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}$ in the integral for the Fourier coefficients.
- Therefore we can make use of (slightly modified) **jump robust estimators for integrated (functions of the) covariance** such as the
 - ▶ certain **power variation estimators** considered by Barndorff-Nielsen et al.
 - ▶ **estimators for the realized Laplace transform of volatility** introduced by Tauchen and Todorov and
 - ▶ other **new** jump robust specifications.

Review of integrated realized covariance estimators

- **Time grids:** For simplicity we suppose that the time grids of observations for all components of Y in $[0, T]$ are equal and equidistant, i.e.,

$$t_m^n = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m = 0, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor$$

and the increments of a process Z with respect to the above time grid are denoted by $\Delta_m^n Z = Z_{t_m^n} - Z_{t_{m-1}^n}$.

Review of integrated realized covariance estimators

- **Time grids:** For simplicity we suppose that the time grids of observations for all components of Y in $[0, T]$ are equal and equidistant, i.e.,

$$t_m^n = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m = 0, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor$$

and the increments of a process Z with respect to the above time grid are denoted by $\Delta_m^n Z = Z_{t_m^n} - Z_{t_{m-1}^n}$.

- The integrated variance estimators we consider are of the form

$$V(Y, g, 0)_T^n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} g(\sqrt{n} \Delta_m^n Y),$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d$.

Review of integrated realized covariance estimators

- **Time grids:** For simplicity we suppose that the time grids of observations for all components of Y in $[0, T]$ are equal and equidistant, i.e.,

$$t_m^n = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m = 0, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor$$

and the increments of a process Z with respect to the above time grid are denoted by $\Delta_m^n Z = Z_{t_m^n} - Z_{t_{m-1}^n}$.

- The integrated variance estimators we consider are of the form

$$V(Y, g, 0)_T^n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} g(\sqrt{n} \Delta_m^n Y),$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d$.

- **Examples for g :**

Review of integrated realized covariance estimators

- **Time grids:** For simplicity we suppose that the time grids of observations for all components of Y in $[0, T]$ are equal and equidistant, i.e.,

$$t_m^n = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m = 0, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor$$

and the increments of a process Z with respect to the above time grid are denoted by $\Delta_m^n Z = Z_{t_m^n} - Z_{t_{m-1}^n}$.

- The integrated variance estimators we consider are of the form

$$V(Y, g, 0)_T^n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} g(\sqrt{n} \Delta_m^n Y),$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d$.

- **Examples for g :**

- ▶ **power variation estimators:**

$$g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \mapsto (|x_i x_j|^{\frac{r}{2}})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$$

Review of integrated realized covariance estimators

- **Time grids:** For simplicity we suppose that the time grids of observations for all components of Y in $[0, T]$ are equal and equidistant, i.e.,

$$t_m^n = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m = 0, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor$$

and the increments of a process Z with respect to the above time grid are denoted by $\Delta_m^n Z = Z_{t_m^n} - Z_{t_{m-1}^n}$.

- The integrated variance estimators we consider are of the form

$$V(Y, g, 0)_T^n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} g(\sqrt{n} \Delta_m^n Y),$$

for some function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d$.

- **Examples for g :**

- ▶ **power variation estimators:**

$$g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \mapsto (|x_i x_j|^{\frac{p}{2}})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$$

- ▶ **multivariate version of the Tauchen-Todorov estimator**

$$g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \mapsto (\cos(x_i + x_j))_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$$

Assumptions on the function g

In order to study asymptotic properties, the function g satisfies either

Assumption (J)

The function g is continuous with at most polynomial growth.

or

Assumptions on the function g

In order to study asymptotic properties, the function g satisfies either

Assumption (J)

The function g is continuous with at most polynomial growth.

or

Assumption (K)

The function g is even and continuously differentiable with partial derivatives having at most polynomial growth.

or

Assumptions on the function g

In order to study asymptotic properties, the function g satisfies either

Assumption (J)

The function g is *continuous* with at most *polynomial growth*.

or

Assumption (K)

The function g is *even* and *continuously differentiable* with partial derivatives having at most *polynomial growth*.

or

Assumption (K')

The function g is *even* and satisfies some technical conditions which are satisfied by $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \mapsto (|x_i x_j|^{\frac{r}{2}})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$ for $r < 1$. (compare Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006)). Additionally, the *instantaneous covariance process* X is everywhere invertible.

Theorem (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006))

Let Y be a *continuous* semimartingale satisfying assumption (H) and suppose that g satisfies (J), then

$$V(Y, g, 0)_T^n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^T \rho_g(X_s) ds, ,$$

where $\rho_g(X) = \mathbb{E}[g(U)]$, $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, X)$. Under assumption (H_1) and (K) or (K')

$$\sqrt{n} \left(V(Y, g, 0)_T^n - \int_0^T \rho_g(X_s) ds \right)$$

converges *stably in law* to an \mathcal{F} -conditional Gaussian random variable defined on an extension of the original probability space with *mean 0* and *covariance*

$$\int_0^T (\rho_{g_{ij}g_{kl}}(X_s) - \rho_{g_{ij}}\rho_{g_{kl}}(X_s)) ds.$$

Remark

- *Stable convergence in law* for a sequence of random variables (U_n) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to a limit U (defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$) means that, for any bounded continuous function f and any bounded \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable V , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Vf(U_n)] = E[Vf(U)].$$

Remark

- *Stable convergence in law* for a sequence of random variables (U_n) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to a limit U (defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$) means that, for any bounded continuous function f and any bounded \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable V , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Vf(U_n)] = E[Vf(U)].$$

- If h is a differentiable function, then stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(h(U_n) - h(U))$ can be obtained from stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(U_n - U)$.

Remark

- *Stable convergence in law* for a sequence of random variables (U_n) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to a limit U (defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$) means that, for any bounded continuous function f and any bounded \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable V , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Vf(U_n)] = E[Vf(U)].$$

- If h is a differentiable function, then stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(h(U_n) - h(U))$ can be obtained from stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(U_n - U)$.
- In the above theorem, stable convergence does not only hold for the marginals, but also *process-wise*.

Remark

- *Stable convergence in law* for a sequence of random variables (U_n) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to a limit U (defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$) means that, for *any bounded continuous function f and any bounded \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable V* , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Vf(U_n)] = \mathbb{E}[Vf(U)].$$

- If h is a differentiable function, then *stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(h(U_n) - h(U))$ can be obtained from stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(U_n - U)$* .
- In the above theorem, *stable convergence does not only hold for the marginals, but also process-wise*.
- *Inclusion of jumps* has been considered in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2007) and Woerner (2004) in the one-dimensional case for $g = |x|^r$ and in Tauchen and Todorov for $g = \cos(x)$.

Remark

- *Stable convergence in law* for a sequence of random variables (U_n) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to a limit U (defined on an extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$) means that, for *any bounded continuous function f and any bounded \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable V* , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Vf(U_n)] = E[Vf(U)].$$

- If h is a differentiable function, then *stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(h(U_n) - h(U))$ can be obtained from stable convergence of $\sqrt{n}(U_n - U)$* .
- In the above theorem, *stable convergence does not only hold for the marginals, but also process-wise*.
- *Inclusion of jumps* has been considered in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2007) and Woerner (2004) in the one-dimensional case for $g = |x|^r$ and in Tauchen and Todorov for $g = \cos(x)$.
- In these examples the function $\rho_g(x)$ corresponds to $\rho_{(x \mapsto |x|^r)}(x) = |x|^{\frac{r}{2}} \mathbb{E}[|u|^r]$, $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\rho_{(x \mapsto \cos(x))}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x}$.

Integrated covariance estimators - inclusion of jumps

Proposition (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann (2012))

Let Y be an Itô semimartingale satisfying assumption (H) and denote by D its diffusion part

$$D_t = y + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{s-}} dZ_s.$$

Then under the same assumptions as in the previous theorem, the above consistency statement holds if for all $m \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor\}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] = 0$$

and the above CLT holds if for all $m \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor\}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] \right) = 0.$$

Integrated covariance estimators - inclusion of jumps

Proposition (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann (2012))

Let Y be an Itô semimartingale satisfying assumption (H) and suppose that g is globally α -Hölder continuous. Let $p \in [0, 2)$ and assume that the jumps of Y satisfy $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| \leq 1\}} \|\xi\|^p K_{\omega,t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| > 1\}} \|\xi\|^\alpha K_{\omega,t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] = 0$$

is always satisfied and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] \right) = 0.$$

holds if $p < 1$ and $\frac{p}{2-p} < \alpha < 1$.

Integrated covariance estimators - inclusion of jumps

Proposition (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann (2012))

Let Y be an Itô semimartingale satisfying assumption (H) and suppose that g is globally α -Hölder continuous. Let $p \in [0, 2)$ and assume that the jumps of Y satisfy $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| \leq 1\}} \|\xi\|^p K_{\omega, t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| > 1\}} \|\xi\|^\alpha K_{\omega, t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] = 0$$

is always satisfied and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] \right) = 0.$$

holds if $p < 1$ and $\frac{p}{2-p} < \alpha < 1$.

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\| \right] \leq C \frac{1}{n^{\alpha \wedge \frac{\alpha}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

□

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy assumption (K) or $(K)'$ and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy **assumption (K) or $(K)'$** and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy assumption (K) or $(K)'$ and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.
- **New example:**

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy **assumption (K) or (K)'** and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.
- **New example:**
 - ▶ $h_A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, x \mapsto e^{-\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{2}}, A \in S_d^+$

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy **assumption (K) or (K)'** and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.
- **New example:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\blacktriangleright h_A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, x \mapsto e^{-\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{2}}, \quad A \in S_d^+ \\
 &\blacktriangleright \rho_{h_A}(X) = \mathbb{E}[h_A(U)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I + \sqrt{A}X\sqrt{A})}}, \quad U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, X)
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy **assumption (K) or (K)'** and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.
- **New example:**

- ▶ $h_A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, x \mapsto e^{-\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{2}}, A \in S_d^+$
- ▶ $\rho_{h_A}(X) = \mathbb{E}[h_A(U)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I + \sqrt{A}X\sqrt{A})}}, U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, X)$
- ▶ $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d, x \mapsto (h_{A_{ij}})_{ij \in \{1, \dots, d\}} = \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, A_{ij}x \rangle}{2}} \right)_{ij \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$ with
 $A_{ij} = e_i e_i^\top + e_j e_j^\top + e_i e_j^\top + e_j e_i^\top$

Integrated covariance estimators - new jump robust example

- Jump robust estimators for which a CLT of the above form holds true can thus be obtained by considering **even functions** which satisfy **assumption (K) or (K)'** and additionally some global α -Hölder continuity.
- The specifications $g(x) = |x|^r, 0 < r < 1$ and $g(x) = \cos(x)$ are covered by this condition.
- **New example:**

$$\blacktriangleright h_A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, x \mapsto e^{-\frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{2}}, \quad A \in S_d^+$$

$$\blacktriangleright \rho_{h_A}(X) = \mathbb{E}[h_A(U)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(I + \sqrt{AX}\sqrt{A})}}, \quad U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, X)$$

$$\blacktriangleright g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_d, x \mapsto (h_{A_{ij}})_{ij \in \{1, \dots, d\}} = \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, A_{ij}x \rangle}{2}} \right)_{ij \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \quad \text{with}$$

$$A_{ij} = e_i e_i^\top + e_j e_j^\top + e_i e_j^\top + e_j e_i^\top$$

$$\blacktriangleright \rho_{g_{ij}}(X) = \mathbb{E}[h_{A_{ij}}(U)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_{ii} + 2X_{ij} + X_{jj} + 1}}$$

Assumptions on g and the jumps

Assumption $(L(\eta))$

For $\eta \geq 0$, we have for all $m \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor nT \rfloor\}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\eta \mathbb{E} [\|g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y) - g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n D)\|] = 0.$$

Estimators for the Fourier-coefficients

- The estimators for the Fourier coefficients of $t \mapsto \rho_g(X_t)$ are defined by

$$V(Y, g, k)_T^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt_{m-1}^n} g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y),$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} V(Y, g)_T^{n,K} &:= (V(Y, g, -K)_T^n, \dots, V(Y, g, 0)_T^n, \dots, V(Y, g, K)_T^n)^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathcal{B}^K(t_{m-1}^n) g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^K(t) := (e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}(-K)t}, \dots, 1, \dots, e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}(K)t})^\top$.

Estimators for the Fourier-coefficients

- The estimators for the Fourier coefficients of $t \mapsto \rho_g(X_t)$ are defined by

$$V(Y, g, k)_T^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt_{m-1}^n} g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y),$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} V(Y, g)_T^{n,K} &:= (V(Y, g, -K)_T^n, \dots, V(Y, g, 0)_T^n, \dots, V(Y, g, K)_T^n)^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \mathcal{B}^K(t_{m-1}^n) g(\sqrt{n}\Delta_m^n Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^K(t) := (e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}(-K)t}, \dots, 1, \dots, e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}(K)t})^\top$.

- In the sequel we denote the vector of Fourier coefficients of $t \mapsto f(t)$ by $\mathcal{F}^K(f) := (\mathcal{F}(f)(-K), \dots, \mathcal{F}(f)(0), \dots, \mathcal{F}(f)(K))^\top$

Asymptotic properties for the Fourier-coefficients estimator

Corollary (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann)

Under the assumptions (H), (J) and (L(0)) we have

$$V(Y, g)_T^{n,K} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^T \mathcal{B}^K(s) \rho_g(X_s) ds = T \mathcal{F}^K(\rho_g(X))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\rho_g(X) = \mathbb{E}[g(U)]$, $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, X)$. Under the assumption (H₁) and (K) or (K') and L($\frac{1}{2}$), the $\mathbb{R}^{(2K+1)d \times d}$ -valued random variable

$$\sqrt{n} \left(V(Y, g)_T^{n,K} - T \mathcal{F}^K(\rho_g(X)) \right)$$

converges for $n \rightarrow \infty$ stably in law to an \mathcal{F} -Gaussian random variable defined on an extension of the original probability space with mean 0 and covariance

$$\int_0^T (\rho_{g_{ij}g_{kl}}(X_s) - \rho_{g_{ij}}\rho_{g_{kl}}(X_s)) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}(p-q)s} ds.$$

Fourier estimator for the instantaneous covariance

- Using the estimators for Fourier coefficients, we can now define an estimator for a function of the instantaneous covariance, namely for $\rho_g(X_t)$, via Fourier-Féjer inversion:

$$\widehat{\rho_g(X)}_t^{n,N} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{N}\right) e^{i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt} V(Y, g, k)_T^n.$$

Consistency of the Fourier estimator for the instantaneous covariance

Theorem (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann)

Let $\gamma > 1$ and suppose that $\lim \frac{n}{N^\gamma} = C$. Under the assumptions (H), (J) and (L(0)) we have for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\widehat{\rho_g(X)}_t^{n,N} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \frac{\rho_g(X_{t-}) + \rho_g(X_t)}{2}.$$

as $n, N \rightarrow \infty$. Convergence is uniform in t , if $t \mapsto X_t$ is continuous.

CLT for the Fourier estimator for the spot volatility

Theorem (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann)

Assume that the paths of X are almost surely Hölder continuous with exponent δ . Let $1 < \gamma < 2\delta + 1$ and suppose that $\lim \frac{n}{N^\gamma} = C$. Then under (H1) and (K) or (K') and L(η) with $\eta > \frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}$, the $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ random variable

$$n^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}} \left(\widehat{\rho_g(X)}_t^{n,N} - \rho_g(X_t) \right) \quad (1)$$

converges for each $t \in [0, T]$ as $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ stably in law to a \mathcal{F} -conditional Gaussian random variable defined on an extension of the original probability space with mean 0 and finite non-zero covariance function given by

$$V_t^{ijkl} := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T (\rho_{g_{ij}g_{kl}}(X_s) - \rho_{g_{ij}}(X_s)\rho_{g_{kl}}(X_s)) \frac{F_N^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}(t-s)\right)}{N} ds,$$

where $F_N(t) = \sum_{-k=N}^{k=N} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{N}\right) e^{ikt}$ denotes the Féjer kernel.

Remarks

Remark

- Under (H1), Hölder continuity of the trajectories of X with $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ is satisfied if X has no jumps and if $\mathbb{E} [\|b_u^X\|^p] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} [\|Q_u^q\|^p] < \infty$ for all $p \geq 1$ and $u \in [0, T]$.
- In this case $\gamma \in (1, 2)$. The higher γ the better the convergence rate and it lies between $(0, \frac{1}{4})$.
- $L(\eta)$ with $\eta > \frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}$ is satisfied, if g is α -Hölder continuous and the jumps of Y satisfy $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| \leq 1\}} \|\xi\|^p K_{\omega,t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\{\|\xi\| > 1\}} \|\xi\|^\alpha K_{\omega,t}(d\xi) \right] < \infty$ and $\frac{2\alpha-2p}{p} > \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}$.

Corollary (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann)

Let g be such that $\rho_g(x) : S_d \times S_d, x \mapsto \rho_g(x)$ has a differentiable inverse and denote by

$$\widehat{X}_t^{n,N} := \rho_g^{-1} \left(\widehat{\rho_g(X)}_t^{n,N} \right).$$

Then under the assumption of the above theorem

$$n^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}} \left(\widehat{X}_t^{n,N} - X_t \right)$$

converges as $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ stably in law to a \mathcal{F} -conditional Gaussian random variable given by

$$M_t = (\nabla \rho_g(X_t))^{-1} N_t$$

where N_t denotes the limit of (1).

Integrated covariance of the instantaneous covariance process

- Having reconstructed the path of the instantaneous covariance, we can now proceed to the covariance estimation of the instantaneous covariance process and the determination of parameters under certain model specifications.

Integrated covariance of the instantaneous covariance process

- Having reconstructed the path of the instantaneous covariance, we can now proceed to the covariance estimation of the instantaneous covariance process and the determination of parameters under certain model specifications.
- To do so we use the usual realized covariance estimator, where we plug in the reconstructed path of the instantaneous covariance process:

$$(V(\hat{X}^{n,N}, 2)_T^m)_{ijkl} := \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor mT \rfloor} |(\Delta_p^m \hat{X}^{n,N})_{ij}| |(\Delta_p^m \hat{X}^{n,N})_{kl}|.$$

A CLT for the vol estimator of the covariance process

Theorem (C., D. Skovmand, J. Teichmann)

- Let the conditions of the above theorem be in force and let g be such that $x \mapsto \rho_g(x)$ has a differentiable inverse.
- Suppose that the covariance of covariance process Q defined by $Q_{s,ijkl} = \sum_{p,q} Q_{s,ij}^p Q_{s,kl}^q$ satisfies (H_1) .
- Let $\beta < \frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma}$ and assume that $\lim \frac{m}{n^{2\beta}} = C$.

Then

$$n^\beta \left(V(\hat{X}^{n,N}, 2)_T^m - \int_0^T Q_s ds \right)$$

converges as $n, N, m \rightarrow \infty$ stably in law to a \mathcal{F} -conditional Gaussian random variable defined on an extension of the original probability space with 0 mean and covariance function given by

$$F_{ijklmnpq} = \int_0^T (Q_{s,ijmn} Q_{s,klpq} + Q_{s,ijpq} Q_{s,klmn}) ds.$$

Affine model specification

Affine stochastic covariance model

Affine model specification

Affine stochastic covariance model

- We consider affine models, namely **multivariate Bates-type models**, where X is described by a generalized Wishart process, i.e., an **affine diffusion process** of the form

$$dX_t = (b + MX_t + X_t M^\top)dt + \sqrt{X_t}dW_t\Sigma + \Sigma^\top dW_t^\top \sqrt{X_t},$$

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\Sigma \in S_d^+$, $b \in S_d^+$ s.t. $b - (d-1)\Sigma^\top\Sigma \in S_d^+$ and W a $d \times d$ matrix of Brownian motions correlated with the Brownian motion Z such that $Z = \sqrt{1 - \rho^\top\rho}V + W\rho$ where $\rho \in [-1, 1]^d$ s.t. $\rho^\top\rho \leq 1$,

Estimation of Σ

- In the case of affine models, we have

$$\langle Y_i^c, Y_j^c \rangle_T = \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt$$

and

$$\langle X_{ii}, X_{jj} \rangle_T = (\Sigma^\top \Sigma)_{ij} \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt,$$

Estimation of Σ

- In the case of affine models, we have

$$\langle Y_i^c, Y_j^c \rangle_T = \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt$$

and

$$\langle X_{ii}, X_{jj} \rangle_T = (\Sigma^\top \Sigma)_{ij} \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt,$$

- Estimating from the reconstructed path of X , the **integrated covariance between the components of X** , thus allows to estimate Σ via

$$(\widehat{\Sigma^\top \Sigma})_{ij} = \frac{(V(\widehat{X}^{n,N}, 2)_T^m)_{ij}}{\int_0^T \widehat{X}_{t,ij} dt}.$$

Estimation of Σ

- In the case of affine models, we have

$$\langle Y_i^c, Y_j^c \rangle_T = \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt$$

and

$$\langle X_{ii}, X_{jj} \rangle_T = (\Sigma^\top \Sigma)_{ij} \int_0^T X_{t,ij} dt,$$

- Estimating from the reconstructed path of X , the **integrated covariance between the components of X** , thus allows to estimate Σ via

$$(\widehat{\Sigma^\top \Sigma})_{ij} = \frac{(V(\widehat{X}^{n,N}, 2)_T^m)_{ij}}{\int_0^T \widehat{X}_{t,ij}^{n,N} dt.}$$

- Similarly an estimator for the correlation parameter ρ can be obtained.

Conclusion

- Our **calibration concept** is based on the
 - ▶ ...on the use of both **time series** and option price data,
 - ▶ ...on the fact that **certain parameters such as the covariance of the covariance remain invariant under equivalent measure changes**,
 - ▶ ... on **non-parametric instantaneous covariance estimators based on Fourier methods** for which we establish **central limit theorems**.

Conclusion

- Our **calibration concept** is based on the
 - ▶ ...on the use of both **time series** and option price data,
 - ▶ ...on the fact that **certain parameters** such as the covariance of the covariance remain invariant under equivalent measure changes,
 - ▶ ... on **non-parametric instantaneous covariance estimators** based on **Fourier methods** for which we establish **central limit theorems**.
- **Work in progress, Outlook**
 - ▶ **Inclusion of jumps** in the volatility process
 - ▶ Use of **other estimator** for the **Fourier coefficients**, e.g. the Bohr convolution estimator by Malliavin and Mancino
 - ▶ **Application to real data**

- Thank you for your attention!