

Existence and convergence of Glosten-Milgrom equilibria

Hao Xing

London School of Economics

joint work with
Umut Cetin

4th Berlin Workshop on Mathematical Finance for Young Researchers,
Berlin, October 11, 2012

Market with insiders

What is a market?

Market with insiders

What is a market?

For example, securities exchanges.

Market maker is the middle man who hold inventories that help to match buyers and sellers arriving at different times.

Market with insiders

What is a market?

For example, securities exchanges.

Market maker is the middle man who hold inventories that help to match buyers and sellers arriving at different times.

Classical asset price theory has little to say about how orders are matched.

Problem is even more difficult when agents have different information.

For example, **insiders** who has private information

- ▶ How completely do prices reflect insider information?
- ▶ How large are insider profits?
- ▶ How does the market maker behave?

Market with insiders

What is a market?

For example, securities exchanges.

Market maker is the middle man who hold inventories that help to match buyers and sellers arriving at different times.

Classical asset price theory has little to say about how orders are matched.

Problem is even more difficult when agents have different information.

For example, **insiders** who has private information

- ▶ How completely do prices reflect insider information?
- ▶ How large are insider profits?
- ▶ How does the market maker behave?

Market microstructure theory: [O'Hara]

Kyle model

Kyle (85) studied a market with single risky asset and obtained equilibrium between

- ▶ **strategic** informed investor [**insider**] whose trades move prices;
- ▶ other (uninformed) investors [**noise trader**] have random demand;
- ▶ a risk neutral **market maker** observe the **aggregated** demand and set the price as the conditional expectation of the risky asset.

Kyle model

Kyle (85) studied a market with single risky asset and obtained equilibrium between

- ▶ **strategic** informed investor [**insider**] whose trades move prices;
- ▶ other (uninformed) investors [**noise trader**] have random demand;
- ▶ a risk neutral **market maker** observe the **aggregated** demand and set the price as the conditional expectation of the risky asset.

Kyle's model is quite influential:

- ▶ Kyle's λ^* measures market depth.
- ▶ Continuous time model has been studied by Back(92).
- ▶ Connection to **filtration enlargement**: Jacod, Jeulin, Yor, Protter ...
- ▶ Mathematical Finance: Pikovsky & Karatzas, Imkeller, Schweizer, Ankirchner, Amendinger, Monoyios, Campi, Cetin, Danilova ...

Glosten-Milgrom model

In Kyle model, the market maker sets only one price.

Glosten-Milgrom model

In Kyle model, the market maker sets only one price.

Glosten-Milgrom (85) proposed a model

- ▶ insider, noise trader, and market maker.
- ▶ market maker treats each order individually. Bid/ask prices

Glosten-Milgrom model

In Kyle model, the market maker sets only one price.

Glosten-Milgrom (85) proposed a model

- ▶ insider, noise trader, and market maker.
- ▶ market maker treats each order individually. Bid/ask prices

Bid-ask spread exists because market maker wants to recoup the losses suffered in trading with informed trader.

Kyle meets Glosten and Milgrom

Back and Baruch, *information in securities markets: Kyle meets Glosten and Milgrom*, *Econometrica*, 72 (2004), pp. 433-465.

- ▶ Noise trades in Glosten-Milgrom is modeled by difference of two independent Poisson with **intensity** β and **jump size** δ .
- ▶ Noise trades in Kyle-Back is modeled by a Brownian motion.

Kyle meets Glosten and Milgrom

Back and Baruch, *information in securities markets: Kyle meets Glosten and Milgrom*, *Econometrica*, 72 (2004), pp. 433-465.

- ▶ Noise trades in Glosten-Milgrom is modeled by difference of two independent Poisson with **intensity** β and **jump size** δ .
- ▶ Noise trades in Kyle-Back is modeled by a Brownian motion.

Back and Baruch showed

1. When $\delta \downarrow 0$ and $\beta \uparrow \infty$,

Glosten-Milgrom equilibria \implies Kyle-Back equilibrium.

2. When δ is small,

bid-ask spread $\sim 2\delta\lambda^*$.

Our contributions

In Back-Baruch 04:

- ▶ HJB equations in Glosten-Milgrom are solved numerically.
- ▶ hard-to-check conditions for convergence of equilibria.

Our contributions

In Back-Baruch 04:

- ▶ HJB equations in Glosten-Milgrom are solved numerically.
- ▶ hard-to-check conditions for convergence of equilibria.

Our contributions:

1. Optimal strategies for insider is **explicitly** constructed in Glosten-Milgrom.
2. A point process bridge is constructed.
3. Use weak convergence to remove technical assumptions for convergence.

Model

Interest rate 0.

One risky asset whose fundamental value is \tilde{v} .

\tilde{v} has two states: **high** and **low**: 0 and 1 resp.

The fundamental value will be revealed at time 1.

Three types of market participants:

- ▶ **Noisy/liquidity traders**: total demand $Z = Z^B - Z^S$,
 Z^B/δ and Z^S/δ are independent Poisson with intensity β .
- ▶ **Informed trader/insider**: observes \tilde{v} at time 0,
net order $X = X^B - X^S$.
- ▶ **Market maker**: observe the aggregated order process $Y = X + Z$
and set the price at $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{v} | \mathcal{F}_t^Y]$.

Admissibility

A function $p : \delta\mathbb{Z} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **pricing rule** if
 $y \mapsto p(y, t)$ is strictly increasing.

Admissibility

A function $p : \delta\mathbb{Z} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **pricing rule** if

$y \mapsto p(y, t)$ is strictly increasing.

Insider's filtration \mathcal{F}^I contains filtration generated by Z and $\tilde{v} + ?$.

The insider's strategy (X^B, X^S) is **admissible** if

- i) X^B and X^S , with $X_0^B = X_0^S = 0$, are \mathcal{F}^I -adapted increasing point processes with jump size δ ;
- ii) Z^B and X^B (resp. Z^S and X^S) never jump at the same time;
- iii) X^B and X^S admit $(\mathcal{F}^I, \mathbb{P})$ -intensities θ^B and θ^S .

Admissibility

A function $p : \delta\mathbb{Z} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **pricing rule** if

$y \mapsto p(y, t)$ is strictly increasing.

Insider's filtration \mathcal{F}^I contains filtration generated by Z and $\tilde{v} + ?$.

The insider's strategy (X^B, X^S) is **admissible** if

- i) X^B and X^S , with $X_0^B = X_0^S = 0$, are \mathcal{F}^I -adapted increasing point processes with jump size δ ;
- ii) Z^B and X^B (resp. Z^S and X^S) never jump at the same time;
- iii) X^B and X^S admit $(\mathcal{F}^I, \mathbb{P})$ -intensities θ^B and θ^S .

Insider can either **contributes** or **cancels** noise trader's order.

$X^B = X^{B,B} + X^{B,S}$, where

- ▶ $X^{B,B}$: buy orders which compensate Z^B ,
- ▶ $X^{B,S}$: buy orders which cancel some orders of Z^S .

Insider's problem

Insider maximizes profit associated to (X^B, X^S)

$$\int_0^1 X_{t-} d\rho(Y_t, t) + (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_1, 1))X_1.$$

Insider's problem

Insider maximizes profit associated to (X^B, X^S)

$$\int_0^1 X_{t-} dp(Y_t, t) + (\tilde{v} - p(Y_1, 1))X_1.$$

This can be rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_t, t))dX_t^B - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_t, t))dX_t^S \\ = & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_{t-} + \delta, t))dX_t^{B,B} + \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_{t-}, t))dX_t^{B,S} \\ & - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_{t-} - \delta, t))dX_t^{S,S} - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - p(Y_{t-}, t))dX_t^{S,B}. \end{aligned}$$

Insider's problem

Insider maximizes profit associated to (X^B, X^S)

$$\int_0^1 X_{t-} d\rho(Y_t, t) + (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_1, 1))X_1.$$

This can be rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_t, t)) dX_t^B - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_t, t)) dX_t^S \\ = & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-} + \delta, t)) d\theta_t^{B,B} + \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-}, t)) d\theta_t^{B,S} \\ & - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-} - \delta, t)) d\theta_t^{S,S} - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-}, t)) d\theta_t^{S,B} \\ & + \text{martingales.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence ask/bid prices can be defined

$$a(Y_{t-}, t) := \rho(Y_{t-} + \delta, t) \quad \text{and} \quad b(Y_{t-}, t) := \rho(Y_{t-} - \delta, t).$$

Insider's problem

Insider maximizes profit associated to (X^B, X^S)

$$\int_0^1 X_{t-} d\rho(Y_t, t) + (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_1, 1))X_1.$$

This can be rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_t, t)) dX_t^B - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_t, t)) dX_t^S \\ = & \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-} + \delta, t)) d\theta_t^{B,B} + \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-}, t)) d\theta_t^{B,S} \\ & - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-} - \delta, t)) d\theta_t^{S,S} - \int_0^1 (\tilde{v} - \rho(Y_{t-}, t)) d\theta_t^{S,B} \\ & + \text{martingales.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence ask/bid prices can be defined

$$a(Y_{t-}, t) := \rho(Y_{t-} + \delta, t) \quad \text{and} \quad b(Y_{t-}, t) := \rho(Y_{t-} - \delta, t).$$

HJB equation

Define value function $V(\tilde{v}, y, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_t + (V(y + \delta, t) - 2V(y, t) + V(y - \delta, t))\beta \\ & + \sup_{\theta^B, B \geq 0} [V(y + \delta, t) - V(y, t) + (\tilde{v} - a(y, t))\delta] \theta^{B,B} \\ & + \sup_{\theta^B, S \geq 0} [V(y, t) - V(y - \delta, t) + (\tilde{v} - p(y, t))\delta] \theta^{B,S} \\ & + \dots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The system reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} V_t + (V(y + \delta, t) - 2V(y, t) + V(y - \delta, t))\beta &= 0, \\ V(y + \delta, t) - V(y, t) + (\tilde{v} - p(y + \delta, t))\delta &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$V(y - \delta, t) - V(y, t) - (\tilde{v} - p(y - \delta, t))\delta \leq 0. \tag{2}$$

HJB equation

Define value function $V(\tilde{v}, y, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_t + (V(y + \delta, t) - 2V(y, t) + V(y - \delta, t))\beta \\ & + \sup_{\theta^{B,B} \geq 0} [V(y + \delta, t) - V(y, t) + (\tilde{v} - a(y, t))\delta] \theta^{B,B} \\ & + \sup_{\theta^{B,S} \geq 0} [V(y, t) - V(y - \delta, t) + (\tilde{v} - p(y, t))\delta] \theta^{B,S} \\ & + \dots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The system reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} V_t + (V(y + \delta, t) - 2V(y, t) + V(y - \delta, t))\beta &= 0, \\ V(y + \delta, t) - V(y, t) + (\tilde{v} - p(y + \delta, t))\delta &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$V(y - \delta, t) - V(y, t) - (\tilde{v} - p(y - \delta, t))\delta \leq 0. \tag{2}$$

- ▶ $\theta^{B,\cdot} > 0$ only when (1)=0; $\theta^{S,\cdot} > 0$ only when (2)=0;
- ▶ When one eqn. is identity, the other eqn. is strict inequality;
- ▶ $p \sim \partial_y V$ where V is a harmonic function.

Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium

A Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium is (p, X^B, X^S) such that

- i) Given (X^B, X^S) , $p(Y_t, t) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{v} | \mathcal{F}_t^Y]$ for $t \in [0, 1]$;
- ii) given p , (X^B, X^S) maximizes the profit.

Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium

A **Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium** is (p, X^B, X^S) such that

- i) Given (X^B, X^S) , $p(Y_t, t) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{v} | \mathcal{F}_t^Y]$ for $t \in [0, 1]$;
- ii) given p , (X^B, X^S) maximizes the profit.

For $z \in \delta\mathbb{Z}$, let $P^z(y) = \mathbb{I}_{[y \geq z]}$ and

$$p^z(y, t) := \mathbb{P}[Z_1 \geq z | Z_t = y].$$

We expect $[\tilde{v} = 1] = [Y_1 \geq y_\delta]$ for some y_δ .

Theorem

(p^{y_δ}, X^B, X^S) is a *Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium* if

- i) $[Y_1 \geq y_\delta] = [\tilde{v} = 1]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for some $y_\delta \in \delta\mathbb{Z}$;
- ii) $X^S \equiv 0$ on $[\tilde{v} = 1]$ ($X^B \equiv 0$ on $[\tilde{v} = 0]$);
- iii) $Y = Z + X^B - X^S$ where Y^B/δ and Y^S/δ are independent, \mathcal{F}^Y -adapted Poisson processes with intensity β ;

Point process bridge

Assume $\delta = 1$.

We want to construct on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}, \mathbb{P})$

$$Y = Z^B - Z^S + X^B \mathbb{I}_I - X^S \mathbb{I}_{I^c},$$

$I \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and two point processes (X^B, X^S) , such that

- i) $I = [Y_1 \geq y_1]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- ii) Y^B and Y^S are independent poisson with intensity β .

Point process bridge

Assume $\delta = 1$.

We want to construct on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}, \mathbb{P})$

$$Y = Z^B - Z^S + X^B \mathbb{I}_I - X^S \mathbb{I}_{I^c},$$

$I \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and two point processes (X^B, X^S) , such that

- i) $I = [Y_1 \geq y_1]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- ii) Y^B and Y^S are independent poisson with intensity β .

Similar to [initial filtration enlargement](#) where $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^Z \vee \sigma([Y_1 \geq y_1])$.

Point process bridge

Assume $\delta = 1$.

We want to construct on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}, \mathbb{P})$

$$Y = Z^B - Z^S + X^B \mathbb{I}_I - X^S \mathbb{I}_{I^c},$$

$I \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and two point processes (X^B, X^S) , such that

- i) $I = [Y_1 \geq y_1]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- ii) Y^B and Y^S are independent poisson with intensity β .

Similar to [initial filtration enlargement](#) where $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^Z \vee \sigma([Y_1 \geq y_1])$.

However, standard theory gives

$$Y = Z^B - Z^S + \text{absolute cont. part.}$$

The absolute cont. part gives \mathcal{G} -intensities of Y^B and Y^S .

Explicit construction

Let us focus on I and before the first jump of Y .

Recall $p(y, t) = \mathbb{P}[Z_1 \geq y_1 \mid Z_t = y]$.

We want to construct, before the first jump of Y :

$$Y^B = Z^B + X^{B,B} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(1, t)}{p(0, t)} > \beta;$$

$$Y^S = Z^S - X^{B,S} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(-1, t)}{p(0, t)} < \beta.$$

Explicit construction

Let us focus on I and before the first jump of Y .

Recall $p(y, t) = \mathbb{P}[Z_1 \geq y_1 \mid Z_t = y]$.

We want to construct, before the first jump of Y :

$$Y^B = Z^B + X^{B,B} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(1, t)}{p(0, t)} > \beta;$$

$$Y^S = Z^S - X^{B,S} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(-1, t)}{p(0, t)} < \beta.$$

$X^{B,B}$ and $X^{B,S}$ are constructed to **match** the desired intensities.

- ▶ For $X^{B,B}$, construct ν_1 with $\mathbb{P}(\nu_1 > t) = \exp\left(\beta \int_0^t \left[1 - \frac{p(1, u)}{p(0, u)}\right] du\right)$;
- ▶ for $X^{B,S}$, accept jumps of Z^S at the rate $\beta \frac{p(-1, t)}{p(0, t)}$.

Explicit construction

Let us focus on I and before the first jump of Y .

Recall $p(y, t) = \mathbb{P}[Z_1 \geq y_1 \mid Z_t = y]$.

We want to construct, before the first jump of Y :

$$Y^B = Z^B + X^{B,B} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(1, t)}{p(0, t)} > \beta;$$

$$Y^S = Z^S - X^{B,S} \text{ with intensity } \beta \frac{p(-1, t)}{p(0, t)} < \beta.$$

$X^{B,B}$ and $X^{B,S}$ are constructed to **match** the desired intensities.

- ▶ For $X^{B,B}$, construct ν_1 with $\mathbb{P}(\nu_1 > t) = \exp\left(\beta \int_0^t \left[1 - \frac{p(1, u)}{p(0, u)}\right] du\right)$;
- ▶ for $X^{B,S}$, accept jumps of Z^S at the rate $\beta \frac{p(-1, t)}{p(0, t)}$.

Both can be achieved by introducing a sequence of iid uniform $[0, 1]$ r.v.

Existence of Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium

Proposition

When $\mathbb{P}(I) = p(0, 0)$, then Y constructed above satisfies

- i) $[Y_1 \geq y_1] = I$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- ii) Y^B and Y^S are independent Poisson with intensity β under the natural filtration of Y .

Existence of Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium

Proposition

When $\mathbb{P}(I) = p(0, 0)$, then Y constructed above satisfies

- i) $[Y_1 \geq y_1] = I$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- ii) Y^B and Y^S are independent Poisson with intensity β under the natural filtration of Y .

Theorem (Existence)

If there exists y_δ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq y_\delta) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} = 1),$$

and \mathcal{F}_t^I includes filtration generated by \tilde{v} , Z , and a sequence of iid uniform. Then there exists a Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium.

Kyle-Back model

In Kyle-Back model, demand of noise trader Z is a Brownian motion.

When $\tilde{v} = 0$ or 1 , set $y_0 = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} = 1))$ and pricing function

$$p^0(y, t) := \mathbb{P}_y^0[W_{1-t} \geq y_0].$$

Then the equilibrium demand satisfies

$$Y = W + \mathbb{I}_{[\tilde{v}=1]} \int_0^\cdot \partial_y \log p^0(Y_s, s) ds + \mathbb{I}_{[\tilde{v}=0]} \int_0^\cdot \partial_y \log(1 - p^0(Y_s, s)) ds.$$

Y is Brownian motion conditional on $\mathbb{I}_{[W_1 \geq y_0]}$.

The insider's strategy is the additional drift in the enlarged filtration.

Convergence

When $\beta^\delta = (2\delta^2)^{-1}$,

$$Z^{B,\delta} - Z^{S,\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W.$$

Convergence

When $\beta^\delta = (2\delta^2)^{-1}$,

$$Z^{B,\delta} - Z^{S,\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W.$$

Theorem (Convergence)

For any \tilde{v} satisfying $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{v} = 1) \in (0, 1)$, $\exists (\tilde{v}^\delta)_{\delta > 0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{v}$, s.t.

G-M equilibrium $(p^\delta, X^{B,\delta}, X^{S,\delta})$ exists whose fundamental value of risky asset is \tilde{v}^δ .

When $\beta^\delta = (2\delta^2)^{-1}$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, G-M equilibria \rightarrow K-B equilibrium:

i) The bid-ask prices in G-M converge to the price in Kyle-Back.

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \left(a^\delta(y, t) - p^\delta(y, t) \right) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \left(p^\delta(y, t) - b^\delta(y, t) \right) = \partial_y p^0(y, t).$$

ii) When $\tilde{v} = 1$, $X^{B,\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} B^0$; when $\tilde{v} = 0$ $X^{S,\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} S^0$.

Conclusion

- ▶ Construct a point process bridge;
- ▶ Prove the existence of Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium;
- ▶ Remove technical assumptions in the convergence.

Conclusion

- ▶ Construct a point process bridge;
- ▶ Prove the existence of Glosten-Milgrom equilibrium;
- ▶ Remove technical assumptions in the convergence.

Thanks for your attention!